

LETTERS

Supporters aren't fools

WITH regard to Chairman Beeks' comments on the new kit (BFP, March 22), does he really believe the average supporter is going to be fooled into thinking the selection of a new kit has been chosen by the supporters themselves?

He knows that what was chosen (he does not mention how many voted) was the lesser of two evils. I'm also sure that he knows that a third option alongside the two submitted (ie the current kit) would have been the overwhelming choice of a considerably larger vote.

Admit it Mr Beeks, there is only one aim here and that's to get as many people as possible to part with more money.

Regarding the recent survey which included questions on pricing for the new stand, do we really need all these questions? Be brave, Mr Beeks, announce your prices and don't tell us it's what we voted for.

Martin Weedon
Boundary Road
Wooburn Green

Confused? You should be

VIEW FROM THE STAND

By Ron
Barnett



FOLLOWING Wycombe can be a confusing and confounding experience for even the most rational of football fans.

Before the Bradford match it was announced that the Blues had signed Terry Skiverton on a free transfer from Chelsea. I explained to three colleagues, who are not regular visitors to Adams Park, that Terry had played very well while on loan last season.

However, I went on to state that we don't need defenders, we need a goalkeeper.

My point was proved in the first four minutes when Sad Risky Bet lived up to his anagram and gifted Bradford the opening goal. He didn't do a lot better with the second either.

My 'I told you so' smugness was quickly wiped away by Miq Desouza and Steve McGavin giving a superb impersonation of a top Premier Division strike force. Were these the same two players who had looked more like French and Saunders than Fowler and Collymore in recent weeks?

Desouza may have taken the glory, and the Man of the Match award, with his superb hat-trick but Steve McGavin was my choice for top man. His running off the ball, throughout the game, and two low crosses for the first and third goals was inspirational.

While I'm as delighted as any Blues fan with this excellent win, I'm still concerned and confused about our Jekyll and Hyde tactics. Is it just a coincidence or does the Bald Eagle instruct the team to hit long hopeful balls for the first 20 minutes of each half?

Just as everyone begins to get frustrated with this aimless approach, the players switch to a crisp passing game and suddenly we look a different team. Could this be a cunning plan to lull the opposition into a false sense of security?

Certainly none of our five goals came in the opening period of either half on Tuesday. If it was part of a master plan, can we have a repeat performance please.